Summary Report on Documented Cases of Abducted Women from Religious Minorities

submitted to

United Nations Security Council (UNSC)

and

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR)

Institute for the Documentation of Human Rights Violations - against Religious Minorities in the Levant (IDHRV-ARMIL), Inc.

Thursday, August 21, 2025

Executive Summary

Eighty-nine documented cases demonstrate a systematic pattern of abductions targeting women from non-Sunni minority communities, including Alawite, Druze, and Christian. These acts are perpetrated openly and without consequence, indicating official tolerance or complicity. State and local authorities consistently fail to intervene or initiate inquiries. Families are subjected to coercion, including pressure to deny incidents, while intimidation tactics perpetuate a climate of fear and impunity. The recurring features—sectarian slurs ("infidels"), public abductions, coerced narratives, and the absence of protective measures—are paradigmatic of a broader collapse in rule of law and civilian protection under the provisional government. These patterns require urgent international scrutiny and coordinated response under existing human rights and humanitarian mandates.

Indicative Patterns of Abuse and Legal Violations

The following features across verified cases of women's abductions under oversight of the current Syrian regime constitute a substantial body of evidence regarding widespread and systematic violations of international human rights and humanitarian law:

- **Public Abductions:** Women are forcibly seized during routine activities. The brazen nature of these acts, coupled with the absence of deterrence, reflects a breakdown in law enforcement and suggests de facto impunity.
- **Sectarian Targeting:** Victims are referred to as "infidels" during captivity and, in some cases, targeted due their markers of religious identity prior to abduction. Alawite women—traditionally without head coverings—have adopted scarves as a protective measure.
- **Failure to Investigate:** Authorities consistently refrain from initiating proper investigations or pursuing perpetrators. Families are left to conduct informal searches often through social media or negotiate through intermediaries for release, in violation of the State's duty to ensure protection and remedy under international law.
- **Community Silence and Fear:** Witnesses frequently decline to testify, out of fear of reprisals including threats of punishing family members involved in the release of abducted women. In some cases, silence appears to reflect tacit acceptance—further entrenching impunity and obstructing accountability.
- **Forced Marriages and Coerced Divorces:** Married women are compelled to contact their spouses to demand divorce, after which they are forcibly married to armed actors. These practices violate protections against forced marriage and coercion under international human rights law.
- **Torture and Degrading Treatment:** Survivors exhibit physical signs of torture and report beatings, verbal abuse, forced drugging, and sexual assault. Behavioral shifts following release indicate persistent psychological distress. These acts constitute grave breaches under the Convention Against Torture and other applicable instruments.
- **Sexual Violence and Concealment:** Rape remains severely underreported due to stigma and familial pressure. In multiple cases, families refused interviews or cited psychological distress to obscure sexual violence. Such patterns reflect both the prevalence of sexual violence and the systemic obstruction of survivor narratives.
- **Coerced Testimonies by Abducted Women**: There is compelling evidence that abducted women were coerced into participating in scripted video messages designed to obscure their true circumstances. These recordings uniformly follow a fabricated narrative—often falsely claiming that the victim is voluntarily visiting a friend in a distant city and remains in contact with her siblings. Such messaging deliberately conceals the fact that many of these women are married and have children, suggesting a coordinated effort to mislead observers and deflect scrutiny from the conditions of their abduction.

- **Transnational Criminal Involvement**: Testimonial evidence seems to indicate that organized criminal networks operating across national borders—including actors with ties to neighboring states—were implicated in the abduction, enslavement, and trafficking of women. These networks also appear to have facilitated ransom payments and, in some instances, orchestrated partial releases, suggesting coordinated transnational criminal activity.
- **Complicity of General Security Forces**: Additional evidence indicates that general security personnel, across multiple levels of authority, are complicit in the abductions. Their involvement includes direct collaboration with perpetrators, apparent information sharing with intermediaries, and deliberate efforts to conceal the crimes, raising serious concerns of institutional obstruction of justice.
- **Obstruction of Testimony and Coercion of Survivors**: Survivors and their families are repeatedly subjected to threats and serious harm, with the intent to obstruct disclosure and suppress public testimony. In numerous cases, individuals have been coerced into retracting prior statements made on social media and compelled to deny the occurrence of abduction altogether. This coercion has included forced signing of falsified legal documents under duress, amounting to obstruction of justice and violations of the right to truth. Social media posts—often the only way survivors can speak out—are frequently deleted under pressure, making it harder to document abuses and seek justice. These practices violate core protections under international law. Such actions not only retraumatize survivors but also compromise the integrity of legal processes and obstruct international accountability mechanisms.

Continuity of Criminal Modus Operandi and Strategic Gender-Based Violence

Such patterns of abduction, sexual violence, and coercion are not isolated phenomena. Rather, they reflect the continuation and adaptation of criminal methodologies previously employed by designated terrorist organizations, including the Islamic State in Iraq and Syria (ISIS) and Al-Qaida affiliates.

ISIS's campaign against Yazidi women in Iraq—characterized by mass abductions, systematic sexual slavery, and forced marriages—established a precedent wherein gender-based violence functioned as a deliberate tool of terror, sectarian domination, and social reengineering. These acts have been widely recognized as constituting crimes against humanity and genocide under international law, including by UN bodies and the Independent International Commission of Inquiry on the Syrian Arab Republic.

Al-Qaida-linked groups have similarly employed abduction, forced marriage, and intimidation to destabilize communities, assert territorial control, and consolidate ideological authority. These tactics are not incidental but indicative for a coherent strategy of governance through fear and gendered subjugation.

The current wave of abductions mirrors these established patterns of sexual violence:

- Public kidnappings are designed to instill fear and demonstrate operational reach.
- Sectarian profiling and targeted surveillance of minority women.
- Systematic sexual violence as a means of domination and humiliation.
- Coerced denials and narrative suppression that obstruct accountability and reinforce impunity.

These actions are part of ongoing extremist strategies, now adapted to new political and territorial realities. They involve using women's bodies and identities as tools to break community bonds, force compliance, and assert ideological control. Such practices may qualify as crimes against humanity under Article 7 of the Rome Statute and require urgent investigation by international bodies.

Correlation of Patterns in Human Rights Violations and Relevant Legal Frameworks

Pattern	Description	Relevant Legal Frameworks
Public Abductions	Women are seized during daily routines, often in front of witnesses. The brazen nature of these acts, coupled with the absence of deterrence, reflects a breakdown in law enforcement and suggests de facto impunity.	ICCPR Art. 9 (Liberty and Security), CAT Art. 2 (Prevention of Torture), Geneva Conventions (Protection of Civilians)
Failure to Investigate	Authorities consistently refrain from initiating investigations or pursuing perpetrators. Families are left to conduct informal searches or negotiate for release.	ICCPR Art. 2(3) (Right to Remedy), CEDAW General Recommendation 35 (Gender-Based Violence)
Community Silence and Fear	Witnesses frequently decline to testify, citing fear of reprisals. In some cases, silence reflects normalized violence or tacit acceptance.	ICCPR Art. 19 (Freedom of Expression), CAT Art. 13 (Right to Complain)
Intimidation and Coercion	Survivors and families are pressured to retract public statements or deny the abduction. Social media appeals are often deleted under duress.	ICCPR Art. 17 (Protection from Arbitrary Interference), CAT Art. 16 (Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment)
Sectarian Targeting	Victims are called "infidels" and questioned about religious affiliation prior to abduction. Surveillance-based targeting of Alawite women, including those wearing headscarves, indicates religious profiling.	ICCPR Art. 18 (Freedom of Religion), ICERD Art. 5 (Protection from Discrimination), Rome Statute Art. 7(1)(h) (Persecution)
Torture and Degrading Treatment	Survivors report beatings, drugging, verbal abuse, and sexual assault. Many show lasting psychological trauma.	CAT Arts. 1 & 4 (Definition and Criminalization of Torture), Rome Statute Art. 7(1)(f) (Torture as Crime Against Humanity)
Forced Marriages and Coerced Divorces	Married women are forced to call their husbands to demand divorce before being forcibly married to fighters.	CEDAW Art. 16 (Marriage and Family Relations), ICCPR Art. 23(3) (Free Consent to Marriage)
Sexual Violence and Concealment	Rape is widely underreported due to stigma and coercion. Families often refuse interviews or cite psychological distress to obscure sexual violence. Survivors face threats to alter testimony.	Rome Statute Art. 7(1)(g) (Rape as Crime Against Humanity), CEDAW GR 35, CAT Art. 14 (Redress and Rehabilitation)

Legal Framework and Call to Action

The repeated patterns seen across cases provide strong evidence of widespread and systematic violations of international human rights and humanitarian law. These are not isolated incidents—they point to a coordinated campaign of gender-based and sectarian violence. Testimonies collected so far suggest clear grounds for crimes against humanity, including persecution, torture, and sexual violence. The documented failure of authorities to prevent, investigate, or prosecute these acts engages state responsibility under international law.

The repeated patterns of abuse outlined above trigger obligations under several key legal instruments—including the Convention Against Torture (CAT), the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW), and customary international humanitarian law. Considering these obligations, UN bodies and affiliated mechanisms are urged to take the following steps:

- Urge the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) and relevant Special Rapporteurs—particularly those focused on Violence Against Women, Torture, and Minority Issues—to initiate urgent communications and country-specific inquiries.
- Recommend referral to the Independent International Commission of Inquiry and advocate for inclusion of these findings in forthcoming Security Council briefings.
- Encourage Member States to strengthen survivor protection, support independent documentation efforts, and pursue accountability through available legal avenues, including universal jurisdiction proceedings where applicable.

These measures are essential not only to uphold international legal standards but to restore credibility to protection mandates and ensure that survivors are not left without remedy or recognition. The names of the victims and summary descriptions of their cases can be provided upon official request given that proper confidentiality and security measures are in place to protect the eyewitnesses and victims.

Conclusion

The documented patterns of gendered and sectarian violence—carried out with impunity and institutional indifference—constitute grave breaches of international law and demand immediate attention from the international community. These acts are not isolated incidents but part of a systematic campaign that undermines civilian protection, erodes the rule of law, and weaponizes identity to enforce submission and control.

Considering the body of evidence collected, there is a sufficient basis that necessitates further investigation under international human rights and humanitarian frameworks, including potential referral to appropriate accountability mechanisms. UN bodies, Member States, and relevant Special Procedures are urged to prioritize survivor protection, support independent documentation efforts, and pursue coordinated legal and diplomatic responses to halt ongoing violations and ensure redress.

Such violations of basic human rights implicate state responsibility and trigger obligations under international law to prevent, investigate, and remedy grave breaches. Failure to act risks entrenching impunity and signaling tolerance for crimes that target the most vulnerable. The credibility of international protection mandates depends on principled, timely, and sustained engagement.